



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H04B 7/005		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/54430
			(43) International Publication Date: 14 September 2000 (14.09.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/06553		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(22) International Filing Date: 13 March 2000 (13.03.00)			
(30) Priority Data: 09/267,565 12 March 1999 (12.03.99) US			
(71) Applicant: QUALCOMM INCORPORATED [US/US]; 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).			
(72) Inventors: ESTEVES, Eduardo, A., S.; 14005H Mango Drive, Del Mar, CA 92014 (US). BLACK, Peter, J.; 2961 First Avenue, San Diego, CA 92103 (US).			
(74) Agents: WADSWORTH, Philip, R. et al.; Qualcomm Incorporated, 5775 Morehouse Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (US).			

Published


With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR POWER ALLOCATION ON A REVERSE LINK POWER CONTROL CHANNEL OF A COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

(57) Abstract

A method and apparatus that determines how much power to allocate to each of a plurality of reverse link power control (RLPC) Channels to be transmitted from a base station (500), based upon data rate control (DRC) messages transmitted to the base station (500). Historical information is used to determine the quality of the Forward Link over which the RLPC is to be transmitted. If the history of the DRCs received indicates that the remote station (400) to which the RLPC Channel is to be directed has not transmitted a DRC recently, then the base station (500) allocates power to the RLPC Channels based upon information provided to the base station (500) in DRCs that were received by the base station, but that were directed to other base stations (500). Accordingly, the base station (500) can allocate power among the RLPC Channels without having received explicit information as to the quality of the Forward Link between the base station (500) and every remote station (400) intended to receive the information on the RLPC Channels.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

METHODS AND APPARATUS FOR POWER ALLOCATION ON A REVERSE LINK POWER CONTROL CHANNEL OF A COMMUNICATION SYSTEM

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

I. FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to mobile radio telephone systems. More specifically, the present invention relates to systems and methods for controlling the amount of power that will be transmitted from a
10 base station to a remote station in a communication system.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE RELATED ART

It has recently become more common to use spread spectrum techniques, such as code division multiple access (CDMA) techniques, to communicate information over wireless communication systems. For example, CDMA
15 techniques are in wide use for communications between stationary base stations and mobile cellular telephones in a cellular telephone network. In accordance with CDMA techniques, several streams of information, typically from different sources, are each encoded (or "Channelized") using a different code. These codes allow the information to be transmitted over the same frequency band
20 (commonly referred to as a "CDMA channel"). Each such Channelized information stream is commonly referred to as a "Code Channel".

It is presently well known that in order to minimize the amount of interference between Code Channels of a CDMA channel, the amount of power that is transmitted on each of the Code Channels must be carefully controlled.
25 Furthermore, it is common for a single amplifier to be responsible for transmitting the entire CDMA channel. When a single amplifier is used to transmit an entire CDMA channel, the more power transmitted in one Code Channel, the less power is available to the other Code Channels. This is because there is typically a limit on the amount of total output power that such
30 an amplifier can provide without undesirably distorting the amplified signals.

For at least these reasons, it is important to properly allocate transmit power to each Code Channel in the same CDMA channel.

In one system used primarily for transmitting information at high data rates over a wireless communication link, all of the Code Channels in one direction are used to provide parallel data paths for information from a first end point to a second end point of the communication link. For example, information transmitted from a base station to one particular remote station is transmitted over all of the Code Channels. The transmission path in this direction is commonly referred to as either the "Forward Link" or "Down Link". In such a high data rate system, each Code Channel on the Forward Link is allocated approximately the same amount of power for transmission from the base station. Furthermore, transmissions to different remote stations are time multiplexed. That is, during a first time slot, all of the Code Channels of the CDMA Channel are allocated to transmitting information to a first remote station. During a second time slot, all of the Code Channels of the CDMA Channel are allocated to transmitting information to a second remote station. Additional time slots provide communication links between the base station and other remote stations.

The data path by which information is transmitted from a particular remote station to the base station is commonly referred to either as the "Reverse Link" or the "Up Link". In one high data rate system, the Code Channels of a Reverse Link are each allocated to different remote stations. The amount of power that is used to transmit the information on the Reverse Link must be controlled to reduce interference at the receiving base station between Code Channels of the same CDMA channel.

Accordingly, portions of each Code Channel on the Forward Link are reserved for transmitting power control information. The reserved portions of a particular Code Channel within one slot form a "Reverse Link Power Control (RLPC) Channel". Each such RLPC Channel on the Forward Link is associated with one remote station. The power control information that is transmitted on a particular RLPC Channel is intended to be received and used by one particular remote station to control the reverse link power transmitted by that

particular remote station. The power control information assists in maintaining the output power from each remote station at a minimum level required for information to be reliably received from each remote station on the Reverse Link.

5 Figure 1 is an illustration of the format of a Forward Link of one particular communication system. In the system shown in Figure 1, a portion of each Code Channel forms a RLPC Channel over which reverse power control information is transmitted.

Figure 1 shows the Forward Link 100 formatted in Code Channels 102.
10 Two Code Channels 102a and 102b are explicitly shown in Figure 1. However, in accordance with the format shown in Figure 1, 32 Code Channels are provided on the Forward Link CDMA channel. Each Code Channel is divided into "Slots" 104. In a typical system, such as the one shown in Figure 1, each Slot 104 in the Forward Link has a predetermined duration. Each Slot is
15 assigned to a particular remote station. In the system shown in Figure 1, each Slot comprises 2048 "Chips". A Chip is defined as a duration in time that is equal to the duration of one bit of the code used to channelize the Code Channels. Each Slot 104 begins with a first data field 106 that is 464 Chips in length. A pilot field 108 follows the first data field 106. The pilot field is 96
20 chips in length. The pilot field 108, among other uses, allows the receiving device to synchronize to the phase of the incoming Forward Link signals (which include the pilot field 108 itself). A second data field 110 having a length of 464 Chips is then transmitted. A third data field 112 having a length of 400 Chips is transmitted next. Following the third data field 112, a power control field 114 is
25 transmitted. The first power control field 114 has a length of 64 Chips. Next, a second pilot field 116 having a length of 96 Chips is transmitted, followed by a second power control field 118 having a length of 64 Chips. The last field in the Slot 104 is a fourth data field 120 having a length of 400 Chips.

The power control fields 114, 118 within one Code Channel 102 form one
30 RLPC Channel. Accordingly, the RLPC Channel is "Embedded" in the Data. Under most conditions, a determination can be made at the base station as to whether more, less, or the same amount of power needs to be transmitted over

the Reverse Link transmitted from a remote station. The determination is made based on the strength of the signal received by the base station from a particular remote station.

Typically, when transmitting the Forward Link, the same amount of power is used to transmit each Code Channel in the CDMA channel. It is appropriate to transmit the Code Channels at the same power, since the Data is essentially directed to one remote station. For the purposes of this description, "Data" is defined as information that is provided by the communication system user, and does not include information that is transmitted between components of the system in order to manage and/or support system operations (such as overhead messages). However, since each RLPC Channel is directed to a different remote station, transmitting each RLPC Channel of the CDMA channel at the same power level means that some of the RLPC Channels will be transmitted at power levels that are either greater than or less than is required. This is because the amount of power that is required to transmit to a remote station that is closer is less than the amount of power required to transmit to a remote station that is farther away. Accordingly, it can be seen that transmitting all RLPC Channels at the same power level is undesirable for the following reason. There is an absolute maximum total amount of power that can be transmitted by all of the RLPC Channels taken together. Therefore, using more power than is required for some RLPC Channels means that other RLPC Channels will get less power than might otherwise be possible if the power were allocated based on the actual requirements of each RLPC Channel rather than being allocated equally to all RLPC Channels. This could be problematic if the farthest remote station requires more power than $1/N$, where N is the total number of RLPC Channels. It should be noted that the amount of power "required" to "reliably" transmit information, as referred to herein, is the amount of power that is needed to ensure that the information can be decoded with a predetermined error rate. The particular error rate depends upon the particular application of the disclosed method and apparatus.

However, determining the amount of power that is required by each RLPC Channel is difficult for some base stations from which transmission of

RLPC information would be desirable. This can be understood from the following example. Figure 2 is an illustration of a system including three base stations 201, 203, 205 and four remote stations 207. Each remote station 207 typically maintains a list (commonly referred to as the "Active Set") of base stations from which the Forward Link 208 to that remote station 207 may originate. However, the Forward Link 208 will only originate from one of the base stations in the Active Set at any one time. The transmission path 209, 211 between those base stations 203, 205 that are not transmitting the Forward Link 208 to the remote station 207a typically has different loss characteristics than the transmission path 213 between the base station 201 that is transmitting the Forward Link 208 and the remote station 207a. Since nothing is being transmitted to the remote station 207a from the other base stations 203, 205 in the Active Set, it is not possible to characterize the loss over the Forward Links 209, 211 between the other base stations 203, 205 and the remote station 207a. Nonetheless, the remote station 207a will be transmitting to the other base stations 203, 205. Therefore, it is desirable to have each base station 201, 203, 205 in the Active Set send reverse link power control information to the remote station 207a so that the remote station will have information regarding the amount of power to send if selected to transmit.

Therefore, a need currently exists for a method and apparatus to determine the relative amount of power that should be used to transmit reverse link power control information from a base station that is in the Active Set of a remote station, but which is not transmitting a Forward Link signal to that remote station.

These problems and deficiencies are recognized and solved by the present invention in the manner described below.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The disclosed method and apparatus determines how much power to allocate to each of a plurality of reverse link power control (RLPC) Channels to

be transmitted from a base station, based upon data rate control (DRC) messages transmitted to the base station. However, since base stations transmit RLPC Channels to remote stations that have not necessarily transmitted a DRC to the transmitting base station, historical information is used to determine the quality of the Forward Link over which the RLPC is to be transmitted. It should be noted that for the purpose of this document, quality is directly proportional to the amount of power required to reliably transmit a predetermined amount of information in a predetermined amount of time with a predetermined error rate. If the history of the DRCs received indicates that the remote station to which the RLPC Channel is to be directed has not transmitted a DRC directed to that base station recently, then the base station allocates power to the RLPC Channels based upon information provided to the base station in DRCs that were received by the base station, but that were directed to other base stations. Accordingly, the base station can allocate power among the RLPC Channels without having received explicit information as to the quality of the Forward Link between the base station and every remote station intended to receive the information on the RLPC Channels.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The features, objects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the detailed description set forth below when taken in conjunction with the drawings in which like reference characters identify like elements.

Figure 1 is an illustration of the format of a Forward Link of one particular communication system.

Figure 2 shows a communication system that includes seven stations.

Figures 3a-3c describe the disclosed method and apparatus from the perspective of one base station.

Figure 4 is a block diagram of a remote station in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed apparatus.

Figure 5 is a block diagram of a base station in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed apparatus.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

5 The method and apparatus that is disclosed in this document allows a first station (such as a base station within a communication system) to determine how much power to allocate to each "Reverse Link Power Control (RLPC) Channel" that is being transmitted by the first station. For the purpose of this document, a RLPC Channel is defined as any portion of a
10 communication path that is used to communicate information from a first station to a second station regarding the amount of power the receiving second station should transmit back to the first station. A "Forward Link" is defined as a communication link transmitted from a first station to a second station. A "Reverse Link" is defined as the communication link transmitted from the
15 second station to the first station. A "Base Station" is defined as a fixed transmitting and receiving station for interfacing a wireless communications device to a wireline communications system. A "Remote Station" is defined as a station that communicates with a Base Station over a wireless link.

Figure 2 shows a communication system that includes seven stations 201, 203, 205, 207a, 207b, 207c, 207d. In accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the first, second and third stations 201, 203, 205 are Base Stations. The fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh stations 207 are Remote Stations (such as a wireless local loop telephone, a hand held telephone, a modem, a computer terminal, or another device or system used to originate
25 information to be transmitted over the communication system). It should be understood that the number of Remote Stations is typically much greater than the number of Base Stations. However, only four Remote Stations 207 are shown in Figure 2 for the sake of simplicity. It should be understood that each station may be either a Remote Station or a Base Station, depending upon the
30 type of communication system in which these stations are being used.

The disclosed method and apparatus is described essentially in the context of allocation of power among RLPC Channels. However, in systems in which the roles of the Forward and Reverse Links are reversed from that set forth in this description, the disclosed method and apparatus applies equally
5 well to the allocation of power among "Forward Link Power Control Channels". ~~Nonetheless, for ease and clarity, the disclosed method and~~
apparatus is described in the context of allocation of power to RLPC Channels transmitted in the Forward Link.

In accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and
10 apparatus, multiple Remote Stations concurrently transmit Data over the Reverse Link to one Base Station. This Data is transmitted from each Remote Station to a Base Station on a separate Code Channel. For example, the four Remote Stations 207 may each be transmitting information over the Reverse Link to the Base Station 201.

15 In the context of one system for allocating power among RLPC Channels, a Base Station transmits Data on a Forward Link to one Remote Station at a time. In addition, each Remote Station preferably receives Data from only one Base Station at a time. For the purposes of this description, "Data" is defined as information that is provided by the communication system user, and does not
20 include information that is transmitted between components of the system in order to manage and/or support system operations (such as overhead messages).

Each Remote Station maintains a "Set" (or list) of "Active" Base Stations (i.e., an "Active Set"). A Base Station is placed in the Active Set if that Base
25 Station is transmitting a Forward Link that is being received by the Remote Station 207 with at least a predetermined level of quality. In one embodiment, the quality of the Forward Link is determined by the quality of portions 108, 116 of the Forward Link 100, referred to as the "Pilot Channel". A Pilot Channel is preferably made up of portions 108, 116 of the Forward Link that are
30 used by a Remote Station to determine the quality of the Forward Link and to determine the relative phase of the information being received by a Remote Station. In accordance with the embodiment of the disclosed method and

apparatus shown in Figures 1 and 2, the Pilot Channel is transmitted on only one Code Channel 102a from among the Code Channels 102 in the CDMA channel. Furthermore, the Pilot Channel is transmitted only during two fields 108, 116 of each Slot 104.

5 The quality of the Pilot Channel may be determined by measuring a ratio of signal to noise, frequently referred to as "Carrier/Interference" or "C/I".

Such measurements of the quality of the Pilot Channel are well known to those skilled in the art. The quality of the Pilot Channel can be used to determine the quality of the entire Forward Link. It should be understood that the quality of
10 the Forward Link may be determined by any other means known, such as by measuring the signal to noise ratio of a Forward Link "Traffic Channel" (i.e., that portion of the Forward Link that carries the Data). Alternatively, any other portion of the Forward Link may be used to determine the quality of the Forward Link. However, since the Pilot Channel is modulated in a
15 predetermined manner, the Pilot Channel provides an appropriate channel for determining the quality of the Forward Link. Nonetheless, signal to noise ratio is only one parameter that can be used by the Remote Station to determine the quality of the Forward Link. Any other method for determining the quality of the Forward Link can be used in accordance with the disclosed method and
20 apparatus.

If the quality of the Forward Link received by a Remote Station is such that Data can be transmitted over the Forward Link at a predetermined data rate with a predetermined reliability, then the transmitting Base Station may be placed in the Remote Station's Active Set. However, in accordance with one
25 embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, a Pilot Channel from a particular Base Station may be received by the Remote Station with sufficient quality and still not be added to the Active Set. This may be true if there are a predetermined number of Active Base Stations already in the Active Set and the Active Set can only support the predetermined number of Active Base Stations.
30 In the embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus in which C/I is used to determine the quality of the Forward Link, the Remote Station 207 calculates a data rate based upon the C/I of the pilot received from the selected Base

Station. The data rate is calculated to result in Data being received at the Remote Station with a predetermined reliability. It will be understood by those skilled in the art that the reliability with which Data can be transmitted depends upon the quality of the Forward Link (i.e., the C/I) and the data rate.

5 Since the Remote Station only receives data from one of the Base Stations in the Active Set at any one time, the Remote Station selects one of the Base Stations in the Active Set to transmit data to the Remote Station. The selected Base Station 201 is preferably the Base Station 201 from which the Remote Station 207 receives the best quality Forward Link (i.e., the Base Station
10 transmitting the Forward Link capable of supporting the highest data rate). In accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the rate at which the selected Base Station can reliably transmit Data to a particular Remote Station is communicated to the selected Base Station by the particular Remote Station 207 over the Reverse Link 210. The data rate is encoded with a
15 unique code that indicates for which Base Station the data rate information is intended.

When the selected Base Station receives the data rate information, the selected Base Station uses this information to determine the C/I of the pilot that was received by the transmitting Remote Station. In accordance with one
20 embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the method used by the selected Base Station to calculate the C/I of the Forward Link transmitted from the data rate is the inverse of the method used by the Remote Station to calculate the data rate from the measured C/I of the Forward Link pilot signal.

The selected Base Station determines the amount of power to allocate to
25 a particular RLPC Channel based upon the quality of the Forward Link as determined by the Remote Station. In accordance with the embodiment shown in Figures 1 and 2, the Forward Link can support as many RLPC Channels as there are Code Channels 102. Each such RLPC Channel is intended for a different Remote Station. The number of RLPC Channels to be transmitted by a
30 Base Station is equal to the number of Remote Stations that include that Base Station in their Active Set. For example, if only three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c have a particular Base Station 201 in their Active Set, then the Base

Station 201 transmits a Forward Link 208 that includes three RLPC Channels, one RLPC Channel intended for each of the three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c that include that Base Station in the Active Set.

The Base Station also receives information over the Reverse Link from
5 each of these three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c. Accordingly, the receiving
Base Station 201 must provide power control information to each of the three
Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c. This information is provided in a power
control message over the RLPC Channels. Each such RLPC Channel is
transmitted over one Code Channel during the two power control fields 114,
10 118 of each Slot. No power is allocated to the unused RLPC Channels (i.e., to
the other Code Channels during the power control fields 114, 118). Therefore, if
the Forward Link uses a CDMA channel that includes 32 Code Channels, only
three of the 32 Code Channels are required during the reverse link power
control fields 114, 118 (assuming that the Base Station is included in the Active
15 Sets of only three Remote Stations). Accordingly, no power would be
transmitted on the other 29 Code Channels of the Forward Link. This allows
the maximum amount of power to be allocated to the three RLPC Channels that
are directed to Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c that include the Base Station
201 in their Active Set. Each Remote Station 207a, 207b, 207c determines which
20 particular power control message is intended for that Remote Station based
upon the particular Code Channel 102 over which the message is sent (i.e., the
particular Code Channel 102 that is used to support the RLPC Channel).

It can be seen that the allocation of power among the RLPC Channels
requires that the Base Station identify each Remote Station that includes the
25 Base Station in the Active Set. In addition, the Base Station must determine the
quality of the RLPC Channel in order to determine the amount of power to
allocate to each of the RLPC Channels. In accordance with the disclosed
method and apparatus, the Remote Station transmits an overhead message over
the Reverse Link that indicates when a new Base Station has been added to the
30 Active Set. A Base Station that is added to the Active Set of a Remote Station
will receive overhead messages, either directly from the Remote Station or
through another Base Station which then communicates the information to the

Base Station that has been added. Therefore, a Base Station can maintain a list of those Remote Stations that include that Base Station in their Active Set.

However, each Remote Station preferably only transmits information regarding the quality of one Forward Link. That is, a Remote Station only
5 transmits information regarding the Forward Link between that Remote Station and the one Base Station that is currently selected by that Remote Station to transmit data to that Remote Station. For example, assume the Active Set of the Remote Station 207a includes the three Base Stations 201, 203, and 205. Remote Station 207a transmits the data rate at which that Remote Station 207a can
10 receive Data from the Base Station 201, assuming that the Forward Link between the Base Station 201 and the Remote Station 207a has a higher quality than the other two Forward Links 209, 211. This data rate information can be used to determine the quality of the Forward Link 208 (and so the quality of the RLPC Channel). However, while the Base Stations 203, 205 receive the data rate
15 information transmitted from the Remote Station 207a, the data rate information is only relevant to the Forward Link 208 between the select Base Station 201 and the Remote Station 207a. Therefore, the other Base Stations 203, 205 in the Active Set have no information about the current quality of the Forward Links 209, 211 between them and the Remote Station 207a.

20 Rather than allocating power among the RLPC Channels either arbitrarily or equally, the disclosed method and apparatus uses historical information to assist in determining the quality of each of the RLPC Channels to be transmitted.

Figures 3a - 3c are a flowchart of the steps performed in accordance with
25 one disclosed method for determining the amount of power to allocate to each RLPC Channel. The method illustrated in Figures 3a - 3c is performed independently by each Base Station in a communication system. The steps of Figures 3a-3c are described below from the perspective of one Base Station 201.

For the purpose of this description, it will be assumed that the Base
30 Station 201 is receiving Data from three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c. In addition, it is assumed that the Active Set of these three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c include the Base Station 201. The Base Station 201 receives "Data

Rate Control" (DRC) messages over a Reverse Link 210 associated with the first Remote Station 207a. The Base Station 201 stores the received DRC messages in both a "Short List" and a "Long List". In accordance with one method, the Short List includes the five most recently received DRC messages and the Long List includes the twenty most recently received DRC messages. It should be understood that in one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the Long List includes the five DRC messages stored in the Short List. However, in an alternative embodiment, the Long List includes only those twenty DRC messages that were received after receipt of the five DRC messages stored in the Short List. In yet another alternative embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, any other number of DRC messages may be stored in the Long and Short Lists. However, it should be clear that the number of DRC messages stored in the Short List should be less than the number stored in the Long List. Furthermore, it should be understood that the greater the number of messages stored, the lower the reliability of the information in the older stored messages due to the age of that information.

The Base Station 201 makes a power control (PC) decision for each Remote Station. That is, the Base Station 201 determines whether the Remote Station 207a is transmitting the Reverse Link with too much or too little power (STEP 301). In accordance with one disclosed method, this determination is based on the error rate of the Reverse Link 210. In another disclosed method, this determination is based upon a C/I measurement of the Reverse Link. Those skilled in the art will understand that there are many other ways in which the Base Station can determine whether the Remote Station has transmitted the information over the Reverse Link with an appropriate amount of power to be reliably received by the Base Station, but without using more power than is required. Accordingly, any known means may be used for making this determination in accordance with the disclosed method and apparatus.

If the power that is being sent on the Reverse Link 210 is appropriate, (STEP 302), then no power is allocated to the RLPC Channel associated with the Remote Station 207a from which the Reverse Link 210 originated (STEP 304).

The power is appropriate if the Base Station 201 determines that the power level of the Reverse link should not be adjusted. This condition is referred to as an "Erasure". If the Base Station determines that the Remote Station is transmitting with either too little, or too much power, then a change in the amount of power is required on the Reverse Link 210 (i.e., an erasure does not occur) (STEP 302). In such a case, the Base Station 201 determines whether the most recently received data rate control message (i.e., the "Current" DRC message) is "Valid" from the Remote Station 207a. A DRC is considered to be Valid if the DRC message content is received by the receiving Base Station with a predetermined level of assurance in the accuracy of the message content. The Base Station 207a also determines whether the Current DRC message is "Directed" to the Base Station 201 (STEP 306). The DRC message is Directed to a particular Base Station if the DRC message provides information about the rate at which the transmitting Remote Station can receive information from that Base Station. The information may be provided in any manner, such as a measure of the quality of the Forward Link, or the actual data rate that can be supported by the Forward link. It should be noted that in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, each Remote Station transmits DRC messages at a predetermined rate. Each DRC message indicates the Remote Station from which the DRC message came. If a DRC message is Valid and Directed to the Base Station that receives that DRC message during a first period of time, then the DRC message is a relatively good indication of the quality of the Forward Link between the Remote Station that transmitted the DRC message and the Base Station that received the message. If a DRC message which is transmitted during a second time period is either not received as Valid by the Base Station 201, or is not directed to the Base Station 201, then there is no way to determine the quality of the Forward Link during that second period of time.

Therefore, if the Current DRC message is Valid and Directed to the Base Station 201, then the Base Station 201 uses the content of that message to determine the quality (e.g., the C/I) of the Forward Link 208 (STEP 308). In accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the

quality determination is based on the data rate that is being requested by the Remote Station 207a. The Base Station 201 uses the inverse of the process used by the Remote Station 207a to determine the data rate from the C/I of the Pilot Channel of the Forward Link 208. In addition, the Base Station 201 identifies
5 the quality determination of the Forward Link 208 as being "Reliable" (STEP 308). ~~The quality determination is identified as being Reliable due to the fact~~
that the DRC message was both Valid and Directed to the Base Station 201.

Once the Base Station 201 has established a quality value for the Forward Link 208, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of the Forward Links
10 215, 217 to each other Remote Station 207b, 207c that include the Remote Station 201 in the Active Set has been determined (STEP 342) (see Figure 3c). As noted above, DRC messages are transmitted on each Reverse Link associated with a RLPC Channel. That is, DRC messages are transmitted by each of the three Remote Stations 207a, 207b, 207c that include the Base Station 201 in the Active
15 Set. If the Base Station 201 has not yet determined the quality of all three Forward Links 208, 215, 217, then the Base Station 201 continues the process at STEP 301 in order to determine the quality of the next Forward Link (STEP 344). Once the quality of each Forward Link 208, 215, 217 associated with each RLPC Channel has been determined, the Base Station 201 allocates power to each
20 RLPC Channel based on the quality determinations and the reliability of those determinations, as will be described in greater detail below.

If the Current DRC message is either invalid or not Directed to the Base Station 201 (STEP 306), then in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the Base Station gets the DRC messages
25 stored on the Short List (STEP 310). A determination is made as to whether the any of the most recent DRC messages were Directed to the Base Station 201 from the Remote Station 207a (STEP 312). If at least one Valid DRC message on the Short List is Directed to Base Station 201, then the Base Station 201 determines the quality (e.g., the C/I) of the Forward Link 208 based on the
30 value of the most recent Valid DRC message directed to the Base Station 201 and stored in the Short List (STEP 314). As was the case in STEP 308, the Base Station 201 determines that the quality determination of the Forward Link is

Reliable. This determination is made based on the results of STEP 312. However, in the case of STEP 314, the DRC message is not the Current DRC message. Therefore, in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the quality value is adjusted to compensate for the fact that the DRC message is not Current.

For example, in the case in which quality is expressed as a C/I value, the C/I value is adjusted either up or down to compensate for the fact that the data rate information is not Current. In accordance with one embodiment, the C/I value associated with a Remote Station from which no Current DRC message is available is adjusted to reflect a greater signal quality. The quality of the Forward Link will determine the amount of power allocated to the RLPC Channel. Signals transmitted over lower quality links are transmitted with more power, while signals transmitted over higher quality links are transmitted with less power. Therefore, adjusting the quality value to indicate a higher quality link results in less power being allocated to the RLPC Channel associated with the Remote Station 207a from which no Current DRC message directed to that Base Station is available. This results in more power being available for the RLPC Channel associated with the Remote Station from which the Base Station has received a Current DRC message directed to that Base Station.

Alternatively, since the Base Station 201 has received a DRC message that was Directed to the Base Station 201 relatively recently (as indicated by the fact that such a message is on the Short List) the Base Station 201 may adjust the quality value downward. Such an adjustment would result in more power being allocated to the RLPC Channel associated with that Remote Station 207a. This is appropriate if there is a desire to increase the possibility that the RLPC Channel will be reliably received by the Remote Station 207a. As noted above, there is a limited amount of total power available to transmit all of the RLPC Channels. Therefore, increasing the amount of power with which a RLPC Channel is transmitted to one Remote Station, decreases the amount of power that is available to transmit RLPC Channels to the other Remote Stations.

In yet another embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the Base Station 201 does not adjust the quality determination at all. By not adjusting the quality determination, an assumption is made that the benefits of providing more power to those RLPC Channels for which the quality is well known, is offset by the desire to provide a measure of reliability for the transmission of those RLPC Channels for which the quality is not as well known.

Having determined a quality value for the Forward Link 208 in STEP 314, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been determined (STEP 342) and if not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

If the Base Station 201 determines that none of the DRC messages on the Short List are Directed to the Base Station (STEP 312), then the Base Station 201 determines whether at least one Valid DRC message was received within a predetermined amount of time (such as 400 milliseconds in one particular example of the disclosed method and apparatus). In one embodiment of the disclosed method, a "DRC-LOCK bit" is set when a Valid DRC message arrives. The DRC-LOCK bit indicates that the Base Station 201 has received a Valid DRC message over the Reverse Link 210 from a Remote Station within the predetermined period of time (STEP 316). The predetermined time period is preferably greater than the amount of time over which DRC messages are stored in the Short List, and equal to the amount of time over which the DRC messages are stored in the Long List. It should be noted that the Base Station 201 may also determine whether a Valid DRC message was received by any other means. For example, a determination can be made as to whether any Valid DRC messages are present in the Long List by inspection of the stored DRC messages.

Accordingly, if the Base Station 201 has received a Valid DRC messages within a predetermined amount of time, then such messages will have been stored on the Long List. The Base Station gets the DRC messages from the Long List. If any of the DRC messages in the Long List are Directed to the Base Station 201 (STEP 320), then in accordance with one embodiment of the

disclosed method, the Base Station 201 calculates the average of the quality values from all of the DRC messages Directed to the Base Station 201 (STEP 321). The Base Station then determines the quality (e.g., the C/I) of the Forward Link 208 based on the average of all of the DRC message values (STEP 322). As
5 was the case in STEP 308, the Base Station 201 identifies the quality determination of the Forward Link as Reliable based on the determination made in STEP 320 and establishes a value for the quality of the Forward Link (STEP 322).

Having determined the quality of the Forward Link 208, the Base Station
10 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been established (STEP 342) and if not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

If a determination is made that no Valid DRC messages have been received by the Base Station 201 within the predetermined period (STEP 316), or that none of the DRC messages were Directed to the Base Station 201 (STEP
15 320), then the Base Station 201 will attempt to determine the quality of the Forward Link based upon DRC messages that were not Directed to the Base Station 201. However, the Base Station 201 will consider this quality determination to be "Unreliable" since it is based on information that is not Directed to the Base Station 201.

20 Assuming that none of the DRC messages received by the Base Station 201 were Directed to that Base Station 201 (as determined in STEPs 316 or 320), then the Base Station 201 determines whether the Current DRC message is Valid (STEP 324). If the Current DRC message is Valid, then the Base Station 201 establishes a quality value (such as a C/I value) for the Forward Link 208.
25 One means by which that Base Station 201 establishes a quality value is by performing the inverse of the operation performed by the Remote Station 207a when that Remote Station 207a generated the Current DRC message. The quality value is then modified to correct for the fact that the value is Unreliable. Alternatively, the value of the DRC message may be used directly (such as by
30 reference to a lookup table) to determine the quality of the Forward Link 208.

In one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the Base Station 201 takes into account that the Forward Link 212 from the Base Station

203 to which the DRC messages are currently Directed has the highest quality. That is, Base Stations 201, 205 to which the Remote Station 207a has not Directed DRC messages will have a Forward Link that has a lower quality than the Forward Link transmitted from the Base Station 203 to which the Remote
5 Station 207a is directing DRC messages. This is because the Remote Station 207a always directs the DRC message to the Base Station having the highest quality Forward Link.

By performing the inverse of the operation performed by the Remote Station 207a to generate the DRC message, the Base Station 201 can determine
10 the maximum quality of the Forward Link 208. Therefore, the Base Station 201 preferably determines that the quality of the Forward Link 208 is lower than the quality of the Forward Link 212, as determined from the value of the Current DRC message (STEP 326). However, this determination is considered to be Unreliable, since there is no way to know exactly how much lower the quality
15 of the Forward Link 208 will be.

In one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the Base Station 201 determines how much to adjust the quality of the Forward Link 208 by taking into account additional information. Examples of such information include: (1) a stored table that cross references the location of the Remote
20 Station 207a to the quality of the Forward Link 208, (2) historical information regarding the quality of the Forward Link 208, and (3) other information that is indicative of the magnitude of the difference between the quality of the Forward Link 211, 212 about which the information is relevant and the quality of the Forward Link 208 transmitted by the Base Station 201.

25 Having determined the quality of the Forward Link 208, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been established (STEP 342). If not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

If the Current DRC message is not Valid (STEP 324), then the Base Station 201 searches through the stored values in the Short List to identify the
30 most recent Valid DRC message (STEP 328). If the Short List includes at least one Valid DRC message (STEP 330), then the Base Station 201 determines the quality of the Forward Link 208 based on the value of this most recent DRC

message from the Short List. This quality determination is marked as being Unreliable (STEP 332) to indicate that quality determination was not made with regard to the Forward Link transmitted from that Base Station 201. Since the DRC message is not Directed to the Base Station 201, the quality determination
5 is merely the maximum quality, and not the actual quality of the Forward Link 208. ~~In addition, since the value is not from the Current DRC message, the~~
value is made even more Unreliable (i.e., may not even be a reliable measure of the maximum quality). Therefore, in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the value is preferably modified to indicate
10 that the quality of the Forward Link 208 is less than the quality indicated by the value of the DRC message.

Having determined the quality of the Forward Link 208, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been established (STEP 342) and if not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

15 If none of the DRC messages in the Short List were Valid (STEP 330), then the Base Station 201 checks to see whether any of the values in the Long List are Valid (STEP 334). If the Base Station 201 has been receiving DRC messages, then the Base Station 201 reads the DRC messages stored in the Long List (STEP 336). The Base Station 201 determines the quality of the Forward
20 Link 208 based on the DRC messages that are Valid (STEP 338). However, if none of the DRC messages in the Long List have been directed to the Base Station 201, then in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the stored DRC messages are taken together to indicate the average quality of the Forward Link 208. Typically, when the DRC messages
25 are both old and have not been Directed to the Base Station 201, each individual DRC message value has relatively little significance. This is especially true since the quality of the Forward Link 208 changes relatively quickly. Once the average value is determined, the quality of the Forward Link 208 can be estimated to be worse than this estimate, since none of these values were
30 Directed to the Base Station 201. Therefore, the estimation is marked as Unreliable (STEP 338).

Having determined the quality of the Forward Link 208, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been established (STEP 342). If not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

If there are no Valid DRC messages in the Long List (STEP 334), then the
5 Base Station 201 assumes the quality of the Forward Link 208 to be a
predetermined value (STEP 340). In one embodiment of the disclosed method
and apparatus, the predetermined value is an average value taken over a longer
period then is represented by the Long List. Alternatively, the value may be a
parameter stored in the Base Station and set by system considerations, such as
10 topography, average quality of the Forward Link within an area of interest, etc.

Having determined the quality of the Forward Link 208, the Base Station 201 checks whether the quality of all of the Forward Links has been established (STEP 342). If not, the process returns to STEP 301 (STEP 344).

In accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and
15 apparatus, for each Forward Link 208, 215, 217 for which the quality
determination was considered to be Reliable, the C/I value will not be further
adjusted to compensate for reliability. That is, the C/I value that will be used
by the Base Station 201 will be essentially equal to the C/I value that was
measured by the Remote Station 207a. However, in accordance with an
20 alternative embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the C/I value
will be modified by a factor which is a function of packet length, level of
confidence on the prediction, fading margin, and other such factors that can
affect the correlation between the value and the actual quality of the Forward
Link 208.

25 Upon determining a quality factor for each Forward Link, the amount of
power that is to be allocated to each of the RLPC Channels is first divided
among those RLPC Channels for which Reliable quality information is
available. This allocation is based upon the amount of power required in light
of the quality of each such RLPC Channel (STEP 346). Next, the total power
30 that is to be allocated according to the requirements of all of the RLPC Channels
for which Reliable information is available is compared to the total power
available for all of the RLPC Channels (STEP 348). If the amount of power

required for all of the Reliable RLPC Channels is more than the total power available, then the available power is divided among only those RLPC Channels for which Reliable quality information is available, based on the relative quality of each such Reliable Channel (STEP 350). This introduces an
5 equal degradation among all RLPC Channels for which reliable quality information is available.

If the amount of power required for each Reliable RLPC Channel is less than the total power available (STEP 348), then the remaining power is divided among those RLPC Channels for which only Unreliable quality information is
10 available (STEP 352).

In the embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus in which the measure of the quality of a Forward Link is the C/I value, the following formula is used to determine the amount of power required to transmit the Forward Link (STEP 346):

15
$$A[i] = (E_b/N_o) \cdot PG^{-1} \cdot (1/CtoI[i]) \quad \text{equation (1)}$$

where E_b/N_o is the energy per bit divided by the noise, PG is the processing gain due to the encoding of the information, and $CtoI[i]$ is the sum of all C/I values for the particular RLPC Channel for which a Reliable C/I value has been determined, $A[i]$ is the amount of power required to transmit
20 the RLPC Channel for the RLPC Channel having the $CtoI[i]$ value.

Once the values for each $A[i]$ have been calculated (i.e., an output power level has been calculated for each Forward Link for which reliable quality information is available), a check is made to ensure that the total output power allocated to all of the RLPC Channels does not exceed the maximum that the
25 Base Station 201 can transmit, taking into account any additional power required in the RLPC Channels for overhead (such as forward activity bits or other such overhead messages).

If the total power allocated by equation (1) to the RLPC Channels directed to Remote Stations over Forward Links for which Reliable quality
30 determinations can be made is greater than the amount of power that is available, then in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the power is allocated to those RLPC Channels for which a

Reliable quality determination has been made according to the following formula:

$$A[i] = \{(CtoI[i]^{-1}) / \Sigma(CtoI[j]^{-1})\} (1 - P_{\text{overhead}}) \quad \text{equation (2)}$$

where CtoI[i] is the C/I value of the Forward Link to the Remote Station to which the RLPC Channel to be allocated power A[i] is directed and CtoI[j] is the sum of the C/I values of each of the other RLPC Channels for which Reliable C/I values have been determined. No power is allocated to RLPC Channels for which Unreliable quality determinations have been made. Accordingly, the power is allocated among the RLPC Channels for which Reliable quality information is available in proportion to the quality of each such RLPC Channel.

If the total power allocated by equation (1) is less than the amount of power that is available, then in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the following formula is used to allocate the power among the RLPC Channels:

$$A[k] = \{(CtoI[k]^{-1}) / \Sigma(CtoI[l]^{-1})\} \{(1 - P_{\text{overhead}}) - \Sigma A[j]\} \quad \text{equation (3)}$$

where CtoI[k] is determined to be an Unreliable C/I value determined for the Forward Link to the Remote Station to which the RLPC Channel to be allocated power A[k] is directed, $\Sigma(CtoI[l])$ is the sum of the other C/I values associated with the RLPC Channels for which Unreliable C/I values have been determined, and $\Sigma A[j]$ is the sum of the C/I values of each of the other RLPC Channels for which Reliable C/I values have been determined. Accordingly, once the power allocated to the RLPC Channels for which Reliable quality information is available, the remainder is allocated to the RLPC Channels for which only Unreliable quality information is available. The remaining power is allocated in proportion to the estimates of the quality of each RLPC Channels for which only Unreliable quality information is available.

In one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, the C/I value may be adjusted to compensate for a lack of Reliability in the determination of the C/I at the Remote Station 207a, or to otherwise alter the allocation of power between RLPC Channels transmitted over the Forward Link 208. It should be noted that both the quality of the Forward Link, as well

as the reliability of the quality determination, are used in determining how to allocate power among the RLPC Channels. It should also be noted that in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed method and apparatus, RLPC Channels are transmitted to all of the Remote Stations each Slot (each
5 RLPC Channel is modulated onto a separate Code Channel 102). Therefore, regardless of the particular Remote Station to which the forward channel is currently being transmitted, RLPC Channels will be included that are intended to be received by each of the Remote Stations to which the Base Station 201 may transmit (i.e., each Remote Station that includes the Base Station 201 in the
10 Active Set).

It will be understood by those skilled in the art that the disclosed method is preferably essentially implemented as an executable software application that is executed by a programmable device. Figure 4 is a block diagram of a Remote Station 400 in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed apparatus.
15 The Remote Station 400 includes an antenna 402, a radio frequency (RF) front end 404, a digital signal processor (DSP) 406, a general purpose processor 408, a memory device 410, and user interfaces 412.

In accordance with the disclosed method and apparatus, the antenna 402 receives Forward Link signals from one or more Base Stations. The signals are
20 appropriately amplified, filtered and otherwise processed by the RF front end 404. The output from the RF front end 404 is then applied to the DSP 406. The DSP 406 decodes the received Forward Link signals. In addition, DSP 406 provides an indication as to the relative quality of the received Forward Link. The indication of relative quality is stored in the memory 410. The General
25 Purpose Processor 408 is coupled to the DSP 406 and to the memory 410. The General Purpose Processor 408 reads the indications of relative quality from the memory 410 and determines the rate at which each received Forward Link can support data, and determines which Forward Link can support the highest data rate. Once the General Purpose Processor 408 has selected the Forward Link
30 that can support the highest data rate, the General Purpose Processor 408 communicates the selection to the DSP 406. The DSP 406 encodes and modulates the information in a DRC, together with any information from the

user interface 412, into a Reverse Link output signal that is provided to the RF front end 404. The RF front end processes the Reverse Link output signal and couples the Reverse Link output signal to the antenna for transmission to each Base Station capable of receiving the signal.

5 Figure 5 is a block diagram of a Base Station 500 in accordance with one embodiment of the disclosed apparatus. The Base Station 500 includes a transmitter, such as an antenna 502 and a radio frequency (RF) front end 504. The Base Station 500 further includes a digital signal processor (DSP) 506, a general purpose processor 508, a memory device 510, and a communication
10 interfaces 512.

 In accordance with the disclosed apparatus, the antenna 502 receives Reverse Link signals that have been transmitted from nearby Remote Stations 400. The antenna couples these received signals to an RF front end 504 which filters and amplifies the signals. The signals are coupled from the RF front end
15 504 to the DSP 506 and to the general purpose processor 508 for demodulation, decoding, further filtering, etc. Upon decoding DRCs from the received Reverse Link signals, the DSP 506 stores the decoded DRC in the memory 510 in both the Short List and the Long List. In addition, the DSP 506 determines whether each received Reverse Link was transmitted from the Remote Station
20 with too much or too little power. It should be noted that the Base station 500 typically receives Reverse Link signals from more than one Remote Station 400 at a time.

 The general purpose processor 508 then performs the process shown in Figures 3a-3c. The general purpose processor 508 communicates to the DSP 506
25 the amount of power that should be allocated to each RLPC Channel. Based upon the allocation of power to each RLPC Channel, the DSP 506 modulates and encodes the Forward Link signals to be transmitted by the Base Station 500. The signal is coupled to the RF front end 504. The RF front end couples the signal the antenna 502 which transmits the Forward Link signal to the Remote
30 Stations.

 The disclosed method and apparatus is provided to enable any person skilled in the art to make or use the present invention. The various

modifications to the disclosed method and apparatus will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the generic principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments without the use of inventive faculty. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the methods and apparatuses shown herein but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the claims set forth below.

What is claimed is:

CLAIMS

1. A method for determining the amount of power that is required to transmit
2 power control information from a first station to a second station of a
communication system, including the steps of:
 - 4 a) receiving data rate control information from the second station, the
information indicating the data rate at which information is to be
6 transmitted by the first station to the second station; and
 - 8 b) determining from the data rate control information the amount of
power required to transmit the power control information to the second
station.
2. The method of Claim 1, wherein the first station is a base station and the
2 second station is a remote station.
3. A method for determining the amount of power that is required to transmit
2 power control information from a first station to a second station of a
communication system, including the steps of:
 - 4 a) receiving a first data rate control message from the second station
during a first period of time;
 - 6 b) determining whether the first data rate control message is directed to
the first station;
 - 8 c) storing the first data rate control message on a short list;
 - d) receiving a second data rate control message from the second station
10 during a second period of time;
 - e) determining whether the second data rate control message is directed to
12 the first station;
 - f) if the second data rate control message is was not directed to the first
14 station, then:
 - 16 i) determining the amount of power which would be required to
transmit power control information from the first station to the

18 second station during the first period of time based upon the first
data rate control message; and

20 ii) determining the amount of power that is required to reliably
transmit power control information from the first station to the
second station during the second period of time based upon the
22 ~~amount of power determined to be required to reliably transmit~~
power control information from the first station to the second
24 station during the first period of time.

4. The method of Claim 3, further including the steps of:

- 2 a) storing the second data rate control message on the short list;
- 4 b) storing data rate control messages on the short list if received within a
first predetermined amount of time, and storing data rate control
6 messages on a long list if received within a second predetermined
amount of time, the second predetermined amount of time being longer
than the first predetermined amount of time;
- 8 c) determining whether any of the messages on the short list are directed
to the first station;
- 10 d) if any of the data rate control messages stored on the short list are
directed to the first station, then determining the amount of power
12 required to transmit the power control information from the first station
to the second station based upon the most recent data rate control
14 message directed to the first station;
- 16 e) if none of the data rate control messages stored on the short list are
directed to the first station and at least one of the data rate control
18 messages stored on the long list are directed to the first station, then
determining the amount of power required to reliably transmit power
20 control information from the first station to the second station during
the second period of time based upon each of the power control
messages directed to the first station and stored on the long list.

5. The method of Claim 4, wherein the step of determining the amount of power required to reliably transmit power control information from the first station to the second station during the second period of time based upon each of the power control messages directed to the first station and stored on the long list further includes the steps of:
- a) calculating an average forward link quality based upon the values of each data rate control message stored on the long list and directed to the base station; and
 - b) determining the amount of power required to reliably transmit power control information from the first station to the second station based upon the average forward link quality.
6. A method for allocating power among reverse link power control channels on a communications link between a first station and a plurality of second stations, including the steps of:
- a) attempting to receive forward link quality information from each of the plurality of second stations;
 - b) determining the relative quality of a plurality of reverse link power control channels, each such reverse link power control channel being associated with one of the plurality of second stations, the determination being based upon the forward link quality information received from the associated second stations; and
 - c) allocating more power to a reverse link power control channel associated with a lower quality forward link than to a reverse link power control channel associated with a higher quality forward link.
7. The method of Claim 6, further including the steps of:
- a) determining from information received from a remote station whether more power, less power, or the same amount of power is required on the communication link between the remote station and the base station; and

- 6 b) allocating no power to a reverse power control channel associated with
any remote station communicating with the base station over a forward
8 link for which the same amount of power is required.
8. A method for allocating transmit power to a plurality of power control
2 channels, including the steps of:
- a) attempting to determine the quality of one or more forward links;
- 4 b) identifying those forward links for which reliable quality information is
available;
- 6 c) initially allocating power to each of the power control channels to be
transmitted over a forward link for which reliable quality information is
8 available, the power being allocated based upon the amount of power
required to reliably transmit each power control channel to be
10 transmitted over a forward link for which reliable quality information is
available, the amount of power required for a particular power control
12 channel being determined as a function of the quality of that particular
power control channel;
- 14 d) determining whether the total power allocated for all of the power
control channels to be transmitted over forward links for which reliable
16 quality information is available is greater than the available power;
- 18 i) if greater, then allocating all of the available power to only those
power control channels to be transmitted over forward links for
which reliable quality information is available in proportion to
20 the relative quality of each forward link with respect to each
other forward link for which reliable quality information is
22 available, and allocating no power to those power control
channels to be transmitted over forward links for which only
24 unreliable information is available; and
- e) if not greater, then allocating power to those power control channels to
26 be transmitted over the forward links for which reliable quality
information is available in proportion to requirements of each power
28 control channel, and allocating the remaining power to those power

30 control channels to which only unreliable quality information is
available in proportion to the relative quality of the forward links over
which they are to be transmitted.

9. A method for allocating transmit power to a plurality of power control
2 channels, including the steps of:

- 4 a) determining whether a data rate control message transmitted from a
remote station and directed to a receiving base station has been received
6 by the receiving base station within a predetermined first period of
time;
- 8 b) if a data rate control message transmitted from a remote station and
directed to a receiving base station has been received by the receiving
10 base station within the predetermined first period of time, then
determining the quality of the forward link between the receiving base
12 station and the transmitting remote station from the data rate control
message and considering the quality determination to be reliable;
- 14 c) if a data rate control message transmitted from a remote station and
directed to a receiving base station has not been received by the
16 receiving base station within the predetermined first period of time,
then determining whether a data rate control message transmitted from
the remote station and directed to another base station has been
18 received by the receiving base station within the predetermined first
period of time;
- 20 d) if a data rate control message transmitted from the remote station and
directed to a second base station has been received by the receiving base
22 station within the predetermined first period of time, the second base
station not being located at the same location as the receiving base
24 station, then determining that the quality of the forward link between
the remote station and the receiving base station is no better than the
26 quality of the forward link between the second base station and the
remote station, and considering that quality determination to be
28 unreliable; and

- 30 e) allocating power to power control channels based upon the determined quality and the reliability of that determined quality.

2 10. A method for allocating power to a power control channel which is embedded within a data channel, including the steps of:

- 4 ~~a) determining the amount of power that is required to reliably transmit~~
information over the power control channel to each of a plurality of remote stations;
6 b) allocating the amount of power required to transmit the power control channel to each of the remote stations based upon the determined
8 power requirements;
10 c) allocating the amount of power required to transmit each data channel based on the total number of data channels and the total available power, independent of the amount of power allocated to each power
12 control channel.

11. A base station within a communication system, including:

- 2 a) a receiver configured to receive control information from a second station, the control information indicating rate at which information can
4 be reliably received by the second station from the base station;
6 b) a processor, coupled to the receiver, configured to determine from the data rate control information the amount of power that is needed to reliably transmit the power control information to the second station.

12. A base station within a communication system, including:

- 2 a) receiver configured to receive from a second station, a first data rate control message during a first period of time and a second data rate
4 control message during a second period of time;
6 b) a processor, coupled to the receiver, configured to:
i) determine whether the first data rate control message is directed
to the base station;
8 ii) store the first data rate control message on a short list;

- 10 iii) determine whether the second data rate control message is
 directed to the base station;
- 12 iv) perform the following, if the second data rate message is not
 directed to the base station:
- 14 (1) determining the amount of power that would have been
 required to transit power control information from the first
 station to the second station during the first period of time
16 based upon the first data rate control message;
- 18 (2) determining the amount of power that is required to reliably
 transmit power control information from the base station to
20 the second station during the second period of time based
 upon the amount of power determined to be required to
22 reliably transmit power control information from the base
 station to the second station during the first period of time.

13. The base station of Claim 12, wherein the processor is further configured to:

- 2 a) store the second data rate control message on the short list;
- 4 b) store data rate control messages received prior to the receipt of the first
 data rate control message on the short list if received within a first
6 predetermined amount of time, and storing data rate control messages
 on a long list if received within a second predetermined amount of time,
8 the second predetermined amount of time being longer than the first
 predetermined amount of time;
- 10 c) determine whether any of the message on the short list are directed to
 the base station;
- 12 d) determine the amount of power required to transmit the power control
 information from the base station to the second station based upon the
14 most recent data rate control message directed to the base station, if any
 of the data rate control messages stored on the short list are directed to
 the base station;
- 16 e) determine the amount of power required to reliably transmit power
 control information from the base station to the second station during

18 the second period of time based upon each of the power control
messages directed to the base station and stored on the long list, if none
20 of the data rate control messages stored on the short list are directed to
the base station and at least one of the data rate control messages stored
22 on the long list are directed to the base station.

14. The base station of Claim 13, wherein the processor determines the amount
2 of power required to reliably transmit power control information from the
base station to the second station during the second period of time based
4 upon each of the power control messages directed to the base station and
stored on the long list by:
6 a) calculating an average forward link quality based upon the values of
each data rate control message stored on the long list and directed to the
8 base station; and
b) determining the amount of power required to reliably transmit power
10 control information from the base station to the second station based
upon the average forward link quality.

15. A base station within a communication system, including:
2 a) a receiver configured to receive forward link quality information from
each of the plurality of remote stations;
4 b) a processor, coupled to the receiver, configured to:
i) determine the relative quality of a plurality of reverse link power
6 control channels, each such reverse link power control channel
being associated with one of the plurality of remote stations, the
8 determination being based upon the forward link quality
information received from the associated remote stations; and
10 c) allocate more power to a reverse link power control channel associated
with a lower quality forward link than to a reverse link power control
12 channel associated with a higher quality forward link.

16. The base station of Claim 15, wherein the processor is further configured to:

- 2 a) determine from information received from a remote station whether
more power, less power, or the same amount of power is required on
4 the communication link between the remote station and the base station;
and
- 6 b) allocating no power to a reverse power control channel associated with
any remote station communicating with the base station over a forward
8 link for which the same amount of power is required.
17. A base station within a communication system, including:
- 2 a) a receiver configured to receive at least one forward link quality
message;
- 4 b) a processor configured to:
- i) determine the quality of one or more forward links based on
6 the information received in the forward link quality messages;
- ii) identify those forward links for which reliable quality
8 information is available;
- iii) initially allocate power to each of the power control channels
10 to be transmitted over a forward link for which reliable quality
information is available, the power being allocated based upon the
12 amount of power required to reliably transmit each power control
channel to be transmitted over a forward link for which reliable
14 quality information is available, the amount of power required for a
particular power control channel being determined as a function of
16 the quality of that particular power control channel;
- iv) determine whether the total power allocated for all of the
18 power control channels to be transmitted over forward links for
which reliable quality information is available is greater than the
20 available power;
- v) allocate all of the available power to only those power control
22 channels to be transmitted over forward links for which reliable
quality information is available in proportion to the relative
24 quality of each forward link with respect to each other forward

36

link for which reliable quality information is available and to allocate no power to those power control channels to be transmitted over forward links for which only unreliable information is available if the total power is greater than the available power; and

~~vi) if not greater, then to allocate power to those power control~~
channels to be transmitted over the forward links for which reliable quality information is available in proportion to requirements of each power control channel, and to allocate the remaining power to those power control channels to which only unreliable quality information is available in proportion to the relative quality of the forward links over which they are to be transmitted.

18. A base station within a communication system, including:

- a) a receiver configured to receive data rate control messages;
- b) a processor, coupled to the receiver, configured to:

- i) determining whether a data rate control message transmitted from a remote station and directed to a receiving base station has been received by the receiving base station within a predetermined first period of time;
- ii) determine the quality of the forward link between the base station and the transmitting remote station from the received data rate control message and to consider the quality determination to be reliable, if a data rate control message transmitted from a remote station and directed to the base station has been received by the base station within the predetermined first period of time;
- iii) determine whether a data rate control message transmitted from the remote station and directed to another base station has been received by the base station within the predetermined first period of time, if a data rate control message transmitted from a remote

- station and directed to the base station has not been received by
the base station within the predetermined first period of time;
- iv) determine that the quality of the forward link between the
remote station and the base station is no better than the quality of
the forward link between the second base station and the remote
station, and considering that quality determination to be
unreliable, if a data rate control message transmitted from the
remote station and directed to a second base station has been
received by the base station within the predetermined first period
of time, the second base station not being located at the same
location as the base station; and
- v) allocate power to power control channels based upon the
determined quality and the reliability of that determined quality.

19. A base station in which power is allocated to a power control channel which
is embedded within a data channel, including:
- a) a processor configured to:
- i) determining the amount of power that is required to reliably
transmit information over the power control channel to each of a
plurality of remote stations;
- ii) allocate the amount of power required to transmit the power
control channel to each of the remote stations based upon the
determined power requirements;
- iii) allocate the amount of power required to transmit each data
channel based on the total number of data channels and the total
available power, independent of the amount of power allocated to
each power control channel
- b) a transmitter, coupled to the processor, configured to transmit the power
control channels to each of the plurality of remote stations at the
allocated power levels.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A

4/7




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/06553

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H04B7/005

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 847 147 A (HITACHI LTD) 10 June 1998 (1998-06-10) column 4, line 9 -column 7, line 14 column 8, line 42 -column 10, line 18 claims 1-18 figures 1-7,9,10	1,3,6, 8-12,15, 17-19
A	EP 0 817 400 A (NIPPON ELECTRIC CO) 7 January 1998 (1998-01-07) column 3, line 45 -column 6, line 28 claims 1-4 figure 2	1,3,6, 8-12,15, 17-19

	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"Z" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 July 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

20/07/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gkeli, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 00/06553

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>WO 95 21494 A (QUALCOMM INC) 10 August 1995 (1995-08-10)</p> <p>page 4, line 19 -page 7, line 28 page 8, line 14 -page 10, line 2 page 12, line 4 -page 13, line 24 figures 1,3</p> <p>-----</p>	<p>1,3,6, 8-12,15, 17-19</p>

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 00/06553

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0847147 A	10-06-1998	JP 10173594 A	26-06-1998
EP 0817400 A	07-01-1998	JP 2839014 B	16-12-1998
		JP 10022975 A	23-01-1998
		AU 716425 B	24-02-2000
		AU 2849697 A	15-01-1998
		CA 2209729 A	05-01-1998
		US 6026081 A	15-02-2000
WO 9521494 A	10-08-1995	US 5469471 A	21-11-1995
		AT 178444 T	15-04-1999
		AU 681771 B	04-09-1997
		AU 1836995 A	21-08-1995
		BR 9505642 A	09-01-1996
		CA 2158157 A	10-08-1995
		CN 1123073 A	22-05-1996
		DE 69508664 D	06-05-1999
		DE 69508664 T	21-10-1999
		EP 0692162 A	17-01-1996
		ES 2129810 T	16-06-1999
		FI 954617 A	28-11-1995
		GR 3030151 T	31-08-1999
		IL 112487 A	30-10-1998
		JP 3014765 B	28-02-2000
		JP 8508871 T	17-09-1996
		RU 2127948 C	20-03-1999
		SI 692162 T	31-10-1999
		ZA 9500600 A	07-02-1996